

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/431,849	11/02/1999	OILVER NICKEL	BEIERSDORF-5	9291
7	590 04/10/2002			
WILLIAM C GERSTENZANG NORRIS MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS PA 220 EAST 42ND STREET 30TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017			EXAMINER	
			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1774	15
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AS-4
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/431,849	NICKEL, OILVER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 011	<u> March 2002</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed		
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2-12 is/are pending in the application		453 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 is/are withdrawn f		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	ıminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	, ,
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
0.0		

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment mailed March 01, 2002.

Claim 12 was amended rendering Claims 2-9 and 12 pending with Claim 11 held to a nonelected invention. Examiner withdraws the Final Rejection to further prosecute to claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patel et al. (US 5,385,783) in view of Sakumoto et al. (US 5,683,806) further in view of Leeuwenburgh (U.S. 5,935,669).
- 4. Patel discloses a high temperature resistant masking tape comprising a paper substrate, a release coat on one surface of said paper, and an adhesive on the other surface of said paper (abstract; col. 2, lines 1-5). Patel discloses a maximum heat resistance of 165°C (specification, col. 1, line 23) and a tape comprised of conventional crepe paper (specification, col. 1, lines 59-60). The reference discloses a tape that is rolled up on a core (specification, col. 1, line 42). Patel does not disclose a film. While Patel does not disclose a specific width or thickness for the adhesive tape, he does state that the tape can be prepared into predetermined

Application/Control Number: 09/431,849

Art Unit: 1774

widths and lengths. Patel does not disclose that the masking film is folded. Patel does not disclose a polyolefin film.

- 5. Sakumoto teaches an adhesive tape comprising a heat resistant base film and a protective adhesive layer laminated on at least one surface of said base film (abstract, col. 2, lines 1-3). This protective laminated adhesive layer can be made out of polyolefin, such as polyphenylene (specification, col. 1, line 21). Sakumoto teaches that the protective layer has a thickness of from 1 to 200μm (specification, col. 2, line 54-55).
- 6. Patel and Sakumoto are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, that is masking tape. At the time of the invention, it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the protective laminated adhesive layer of Sakumoto with the adhesive layer of Patel in order to provide protection for the adhesive layer of Patel so it would not lose its bonding properties. Neither reference teaches the masking film being folded or the masking film extending beyond said second edge of the masking paper.
- Other folding layers, an adhesive tape being fastened along the longitudinal edge portion (abstract) where the folded material is rolled (column 1, lines 64-65). Although Leeuwenburgh does not explicitly teach his cover sheet is a masking strip, it comprises the same materials and has the same function as applicants claimed masking strip, absent any evidence to the contrary. All the references are analogous art because they are from the same field of adhesive tapes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to fold the masking strip of Patel leaving extended portions because Leeuwenberg teaches this is a conventional practice within the art and the extended portion can be used to adhere the strip to various surfaces.

91/4

Application/Control Number: 09/431,849

Art Unit: 1774

Response to Arguments

Applicant's remarks to 35 USC 112, first paragraph have overcome the rejection 8. due to amendment of claim 12. Applicant's arguments to 35 USC 103(a) are rendering moot based on new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM - 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner Art Unit 1774

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Light Allel